

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DIJON MALIK BOYD,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ERIC STAFFORD, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:21-cv-02153-GMN-NJK

ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 35), of United States Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe, which recommends dismissing the case.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a *de novo* determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (*See* R&R, ECF No. 35) (setting an April 7, 2023, deadline for objections).

///

1 Accordingly,

2 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 35), is
3 **ADOPTED in full.**

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the case is **DISMISSED.**

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court is instructed to close the case.

6 Dated this 28 day of June, 2023.

7
8 
9 _____
Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge
United States District Court